

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. 2000P09139 US01 10/008,125 11/05/2001 Rand Monteleone 2875 EXAMINER 7590 10/06/2004 JACK J. SCHWARTZ & ASSOCIATES VU, KIEU D 1350 BROADWAY SUITE 1507 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER NEW YORK, NY 10018-7702 2173

**DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   |                        |   | () ()  |
|---|---|------------------------|---|--------|
| Office Action Summary   |   | Application No.        | Applicant(s)  | 100    |
|   |   | 10/008,125             | MONTELEONE E  | ET AL. |
|   |   | Examiner               | Art Unit  |        |
|   |   | Kieu D Vu              | 2173  |        |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |   |                        |   |        |
| THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re  | DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP<br>MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION<br>sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1<br>SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.<br>period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re<br>period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period<br>to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu-<br>apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing<br>d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |                        | ay a reply be timely filed  If thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this one ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |        |
| Status  |   |                        |   |        |
| 1)⊠   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 l</u>   | November 2001.         |   |        |
| •   |   | s action is non-final. |   |        |
|   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |                        |   |        |
| Dispositio  | on of Claims  |                        |   |        |
| 5)  | 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |                        |   |        |
| Application   | on Papers   |                        |   |        |
| 9)□ T   | he specification is objected to by the Examin   | er.                    |   |        |
|   | D) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |                        |   |        |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the   |                        | •   | ·• •   |
|   | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrective including the corrective on the E   |                        |   |        |
|   | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |                        |   |        |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |   |                        |   |        |
| Attachment(   | s)  |                        |   |        |
|   | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   |                        | ew Summary (PTO-413)  |        |
| 3) 🔲 Inform   | of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date   |                        | No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT0   | O-152) |

Application/Control Number: 10/008,125 Page 2

Art Unit: 2173

## Election/Restriction

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-7 and 12-20, drawn to "generating composite display window", classified in class 345, subclass 781.
- Claims 8-11, drawn to "Network compatible interface system", classified in class 345, subclass 733.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

  Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together
  in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they
  are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate
  utility such as to efficiently generate a composite display window incorporating a
  first window and a second window. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. A telephone call was made to Jack Schwartz on 09/20/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/008,125 Page 3

Art Unit: 2173

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kieu D. Vu.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thu from 7:00AM to 3:00PM at 703-605-1232 through the month of October, 2004 and at 571-272-4057 thereafter.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca, can be reached at 703-308-3116 through the month of October, 2004 and at 571-272-4048 thereafter.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

703-872-9306

and / or:

703-746-5639 through the month of October, 2004 and 571-273-4057 thereafter (use this FAX #, only after approval by Examiner, for "INFORMAL" or "DRAFT" communication. Examiners may request that a formal paper / amendment be faxed directly to them on occasions).

Application/Control Number: 10/008,125

Art Unit: 2173

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703-305-3900).

Kreubrenhi

Kieu D. Vu

09/30/04